STUDY SAYS SOVIET CUTS MISSILE GAP

At Present Rate, Russians Will Have Big Lead by -'71, House Panel Told 🗀

> By NEIL SHEEHAN Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, July 11 - A study sponsored by the House Armed Services Committee has concluded that the Soviet Union will achieve a marked nuclear superiority over the United States by 1971 unless steps are taken this year to improve American strategic nuclear capabilities.

The 103-page study, released. by the committee today, was conducted by the American Security Council, a private research organization, at the rate indicator of "true military request of the committee chairman, Representative L. Mendel Rivers, Democrat of South Carolina.

The council has among its members a number of retired military strength," the Pentasenior military officers, including Generals Curtis E. Lemay and Thomas A. Power, former Chiefs of Staff of the Air Force, and Dr. Edward Teller, the prin- ons that are accurate and recipal developer of the hydrogen liable, that can survive an enbomb.

Schriever Led Panel

retired chief of the Air Force enough of such weapons to Systems Command, headed a special subcommittee of the council that supervised the

current strategic nuclear deveiopment, and the United States did not alter its own strategic planning, "a massive megaton-nage gap will have developed by the state of the spite whatever damage an enemy managed to cause in the nage gap will have developed" United States by a first, ofby 1971.

By that time, the study asserted, the Soviet Union will this," the statement argued. bility of at least 30,000 megatons, and possibly 50,000 megatons, while the United States delivery capability in 1971 will

megatons. A megaton is the equivalent of a million tons of

Delivery capability refers to. the amount of megatonnage the available bombers and missiles. are able to hurl against an enemy nation.

"The preponderance of the evidence points to the conclusion that the Soviet Union is succeeding in its massive drive toward strategic military superiority and that the United States is cooperating in this effort by slowing down its side of the arms race," the study asserted.

In a statement, the Defense Department did 'not directly, contradict the study's findings, but argued that deliverable megatonnage was not an accucapability."

"It is most important to be clear that numbers of weapons and size of warheads alone are a very incomplete indicator of gon statement said.

'True' Capability Defined

"True military capability results from numbers of weapemy attack and that can pene-trate enemy defenses," it said. "The United States has and

Gen. Bernard A. Schriever, will continue to have more than convince any enemy that an attack on this country would be suicidal.'

The statement emphasized that American nuclear strategy The study argued that if the consisted of attempting to deter soviet Union continued with its nuclear war by maintaining current strategic nuclear devei fensive nuclear attack.
"The present American stra-

tegic forces are capable of doing have a nuclear delivery capa- "The improvements we are Multiple Warhead Stressed

In Congressional testimony in January. Secretary of Defense Robert S. McNamara contended that the United States had a three-to-one superiority over the Soviet Union in intercontinental ballistic missiles and submarine - launched types and a four-to-one lead in intercontinental bombers.

Since then, Defense Department officials have declined to give such ratios but have argued that the United States has a superiority over the Soviet Union of five or six to one in the number of warheads American strategic weapons could

range Apparovedb For Religase 2006/01/30 : CIA-RDP70B00338R000300100028-4